



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,174	04/11/2001	James W. Siekkinen	015559-238	1521
27805 75	90 12/10/2003		EXAMINER	
THOMPSON HINE L.L.P.			BARRERA, RAMON M	
2000 COURTH 10 WEST SEC	OUSE PLAZA , N.E. OND STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, OH 45402			2832	
•			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Auglication No.	Audinada	····			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action	Cummany	09/833,174	SIEKKINEN ET A	SIEKKINEN ET AL.			
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE	of this communication and	Ramon M Barrera	2832	Idua a a			
Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	utn the correspondence ad	iaress			
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mild of the period for reply specified about 1 ft NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or expension.	le under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ailing date of this communication. we is less than thirty (30) days, a repli- bove, the maximum statutory period watended period for reply will, by statute ter than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to com	nunication(s) filed on <u>17 S</u>	eptember 2003.					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINA</b> I	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	and 18-29 is/are rejected. s/are objected to.	n from consideration.					
Application Papers	,	·					
9)⊠ The specification is o	bjected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed	on <u>11 A<i>pril 2001</i></u> is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)⊠ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not req	uest that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	sheet(s) including the correct			• •			
11) The oath or declarati	•	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1							
2. Certified copie 3. Copies of the application from the application of the appli	c) None of: es of the priority documents es of the priority documents certified copies of the prior m the International Bureau illed Office action for a list ade of a claim for domestion nce was included in the firs of the foreign language pro	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not c priority under 35 U.S.C. st sentence of the specific visional application has be c priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National received.  § 119(e) (to a provisional cation or in an Application peen received.  §§ 120 and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PT 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Paten 3)  Information Disclosure Statemer	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTC				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 30-42 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

# **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter of Claim 29 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The subject matter of Claim 29 has not been described in the specification.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,174 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

- 5. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The subject matter of Claim 29 is not described in the specification.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In Claim 24, "a first and a second conductor" should be changed to –said first and second conductor—. Claim 25 inherits the defect in the parent claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-5, 9-12, 15, 16, 18, 20-27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asada, et al.

Asada, et al., discloses first and second conductors 10 with bonding pads 11, a transducer wafer with a base 2, flexible arms 6, and actuator 5 having an

Application/Control Number: 09/833,174

Art Unit: 2832

actuating surface 8, a coil 7, with bonding pads 12, having two layers and an intervening insulating layer (col. 9, lines 54-57), permanent magnets (13A, 14A), a top cap 3' (Fig. 13), and a circuit wafer 4.

10. Claims 1, 2, 5-11, 14, 15, 18-20, 22, 23, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi.

Takahashi discloses first (15c, 24d) and second conductors (15e, 24f), a transducer wafer (11,22) with a base (11o, 22b), flexible arms (22c,d), an actuator (11k, 22a,c,d) having an actuating surface (16a, 25b) and a ring portion with a central opening (22g,h) cross bar 22a, a coil (14, 23a,b) having bonding pads, permanent magnets (13a,b), and a circuit wafer 10. The recitation of a "lower" surface in Claim 8 is not a defining feature since the device is also operable upside down.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asada in view of Takahashi.

Asada, et al., does not disclose a seal ring located between the transducer and circuit wafer. Takahashi in Fig. 2 discloses a seal ring (unnumbered) located between the transducer 11 and circuit 10 wafers for the inherent purpose of sealing the actuator region from contamination. Since Asada and Takahashi are both from the same field of

Page 5

endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Takahashi would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Asada. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ a seal ring located between the transducer 2 and circuit 4 wafers in Asada for the purpose of sealing the actuator region from contamination.

13. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi in view of Asada.

Takahashi does not disclose a two-layered coil with an intervening insulating layer. Asada discloses a coil having two layers and an intervening insulating layer (col. 9, lines 54-57), an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two coils were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute a coil having two layers with an intervening insulating layer in Takahashi.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 13 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the prior art of record disclosed or taught a top cap structure having a protrusion receiving an opening in a ring shaped magnet (Claim 13) nor an actuating surface contacting a

Application/Control Number: 09/833,174

Art Unit: 2832

first conductor which subsequently contacts a second conductor (Claim 17).

Furthermore, Asada failed to disclose or teach a central opening in the actuator

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramon M Barrera whose telephone number is (703)308-0636. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 3 to 6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (703)308-7619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1782.

> Kamon M Banua Ramon M Barrera **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2832

rmb